

Match-up database Analyses Report

SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC)

Saildrone

Mississippi river plume

prepared by the Pi-MEP Consortium December 15, 2024

Contents

List of Figures

Acronym

1 Overview

In this report, we present systematic analyses of the Match-up DataBase (MDB) files generated by the Pi-MEP platform within the following Pi-MEP region and for the below pair of Satellite/ in situ SSS data:

- Pi-MEP region: Mississippi river plume (download the corresponding mask in NetCDF [here\)](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/mask/pimep-region_MRP.nc)
- SSS satellite product (SSS_{SAT}) : SMOS SSS L3 v335 Monthly 25 km (CATDS-CPDC)
- In situ dataset $(SSS_{Institu})$: Saildrone (download the corresponding in situ report [here\)](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/insitu-database/report/pimep-insitu-report_saildrone_20241215.pdf)

In the following, $\Delta SSS = SSS_{SAT} - SSS_{In situ}$ denotes the difference between the satellite and *in* situ SSS at the colocalized points that form the MDB.

This report presents successively:

The MDB file DataSets (Section [2\)](#page-7-0)

- A short description of the satellite SSS product considered in the match-up [\(2.1\)](#page-7-1)
- A short description of the *in situ* SSS dataset considered in the match-up (2.2)
- A short description of the auxiliary geophysical datasets co-localized with SSS pairs [\(2.3\)](#page-8-1)
- An overview of how the Match-ups were evaluated (2.4)
- An overview of the MDB characteristics for the particular in situ/satellite pairs [\(2.5\)](#page-13-1)

The major results of the MDB file Analyses (Section [3\)](#page-15-1)

- Spatial Maps of the Time-mean and temporal Std of in situ and satellite SSS and of the $\triangle SSS(3.1)$ $\triangle SSS(3.1)$
- Time series of the monthly median and Std of in situ and satellite SSS and of the Δ SSS [\(3.2\)](#page-16-0)
- Zonal mean and Std of in situ and satellite SSS and of the $\triangle SSS$ [\(3.3\)](#page-17-0)
- Scatterplots of satellite vs in situ SSS by latitudinal bands (3.4)
- Time series of the monthly median and Std of the Δ SSS sorted by latitudinal bands [\(3.5\)](#page-20-0)
- Δ SSS sorted as function of geophysical parameters [\(3.6\)](#page-20-1)
- Δ SSS maps and statistics for different geophysical conditions [\(3.7\)](#page-23-0)

All analyses are conducted over the Pi-MEP Region specified above and over the full satellite SSS product period. Original figures appearing in this report can be downloaded as PNG files [here](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km/saildrone/figs) or by clicking directly on the figure.

2 The MDB file datasets

2.1 Satellite SSS product

2.1.1 SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC)

This "operationel" L3 SSS product correspond to average debiased (coastal and latitudinal biases) monthly SSS fields based on L2Q products, at 25km spatial resolutions (MIR CSQ3A in [CATDS-CPDC](https://www.catds.fr/content/download/78825/1004866/file/CATDS-CPDC_disseminated_data.pdf?version=20) conventions). The reprocessed part (from 2010 to May 2021) of this dataset can be obtained from [ftp://ext-catds-cpdc:catds2010@ftp.ifremer.fr/Ocean_products/](ftp://ext-catds-cpdc:catds2010@ftp.ifremer.fr/Ocean_products/GRIDDED/L3OS/RE07/MIR_CSQ3A_/) [GRIDDED/L3OS/RE07/MIR_CSQ3A_/](ftp://ext-catds-cpdc:catds2010@ftp.ifremer.fr/Ocean_products/GRIDDED/L3OS/RE07/MIR_CSQ3A_/) and the operational part from [ftp://ext-catds-cpdc:catds](ftp://ext-catds-cpdc:catds2010@ftp.ifremer.fr/Ocean_products/GRIDDED/L3OS/OPER/MIR_CSQ3A_/)2010@ [ftp.ifremer.fr/Ocean_products/GRIDDED/L3OS/OPER/MIR_CSQ3A_/](ftp://ext-catds-cpdc:catds2010@ftp.ifremer.fr/Ocean_products/GRIDDED/L3OS/OPER/MIR_CSQ3A_/).

The SMOS sea surface salinities (SSS) are affected by biases coming from various unphysical contaminations such as the so-called land contamination and latitudinal biases likely due to the thermal drift of the instrument. These biases are relatively weak and have almost no impact on soil moisture retrieval. On the contrary, for salinity estimation, the impact is non negligible and can reach more than 1 salinity unit in some regions close to the coasts. These biases are not easy to characterize because they exhibit very strong spatial gradients and they depend on the coast orientation in the Field Of View (FOV). Moreover, these biases are dependent on the position on the swath. The zero order bias is the so-called Ocean Target Transformation (OTT) which is a correction applied at brightness temperature level. Here, we consider remaining biases on the SSS retrieved from brightness temperatures corrected with an OTT.

SSS maps are obtained from a correction applied at salinity level. This correction is determined using simultaneously the July 2010-March 2016 period of SMOS observations. Indeed, it is possible to build salinity time series for each grid point depending on the observation conditions (for instance depending on the orbit direction) and check, from a statistical point of view, the consistency of the salinities. The first step of this empirical approach is to characterize as accurately as possible these biases as a function of the dwell line position. We first characterize the seasonal variation of the latitudinal biases using SSS in the Pacific Ocean further than 800 km from the coast. The second step is to correct for biases in the vicinity of land. We have found that these biases vary little in time, and can be characterized according to the grid point geographical location (latitude, longitude) and to its location across track. If we assume that the salinity at a given grid point varies very slowly during a given period, then, the different satellite passes crossing the same pixel during the given period should give consistent salinities. Additionally, assuming that the bias does not vary temporally for a given grid point implies that the relative salinity variation over the whole period should be the same whatever the distance to the center of the track. It is then possible to estimate the relative biases between the various distances across track and to obtain, with a least squares approach, a time series of relative salinity variations obtained from all the passes. Note that these steps estimation do not use any external climatology. It allows checking that all the dwell-lines and orbit types (ascending or descending) give consistent results.

These relative salinity variations are then converted, in a last step, to salinities by adding a single constant determined, in each pixel, using an average SSS climatology over the whole period (ISAS v6.2 data). This last step, because it uses only one SSS climatology value per grid point as reference totally preserves the SMOS temporal dynamic. The corrected SSS are stored in the so-called L2Q products [\(ATBD](https://www.catds.fr/content/download/78841/1005020/file/ATBD_L3OS_v3.0.pdf?version=3) Table 6). The averaging of corrected SSS in order to obtain L3Q monthly is performed by using the same algorithm than for the uncorrected SSS (see [ATBD](https://www.catds.fr/content/download/78841/1005020/file/ATBD_L3OS_v3.0.pdf?version=3) section 2.8) but with a different pre-filtering. Indeed, an out-of-range filtering is applied by using min max SSS values obtained from CEC products. Moreover, a mask is applied for high latitudes. SSS coming from low quality adjustment (at brightness temperature level) are

removed before average.

Table 1: Satellite SSS product characteristics

The [SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km \(CATDS-CPDC\)](https://www.catds.fr/Products/Available-products-from-CPDC) Sea Surface Salinity product were obtained from the "Centre Aval de Traitement des Données SMOS" (CATDS), operated for the "Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales" (CNES, France) by IFREMER (Brest, France)

2.2 In situ SSS dataset

Saildrone is a state-of-the-art, remotely guided, wind and solar powered unmanned surface vehicle (USV) capable of long distance deployments lasting up to 12 months. It is equipped with a suite of instruments and sensors providing high quality, georeferenced, near real-time, multi-parameter surface ocean and atmospheric observations while transiting at typical speeds of 3-5 knots. All freely available data are included coming from different providers [\(Saildrone,](https://www.saildrone.com/missions) [NOAA/PMEL,](https://www.pmel.noaa.gov/ocs/saildrone) ...) and easely accessible via NOAA [ERDAP.](https://data.pmel.noaa.gov/pmel/erddap/index.html) When 2 different sensors are available, usually from different brands (SeaBird or RBR), the RBR sensor is kept with the exception for the Atlantic to Mediterranean 2019-2020 campaign for which the salinity data from RBR are clearly corrupted. One-minute samples of the original data were resampled every 10 minutes using linear interpolation to reduce the number of point.

2.3 Auxiliary geophysical datasets

Additional EO datasets are used to characterize the geophysical conditions at the in situ/satellite SSS pair measurement locations and time, and 10 days prior to the measurements, to get an estimate of the geophysical concomitant condition and history. As discussed in [Boutin et al.](#page-29-1) [\(2016\)](#page-29-1), the presence of vertical gradients in, and horizontal variability of, sea surface salinity indeed complicates comparison of satellite and in situ measurements. The additional EO data are used here to get a first estimates of conditions for which L-band satellite SSS measured in the first centimeters of the upper ocean within a 50-150 km diameter footprint might differ from pointwise in situ measurements performed in general between 10 and 5 m depth below the surface. The spatio-temporal variability of SSS within a satellite footprint (50–150 km) is a major issue for satellite SSS validation in the vicinity of river plumes, frontal zones, and significant precipitation areas, among others. Rainfall can in some cases produce vertical salinity gradients exceeding 1 pss m^{-1} ; consequently, it is recommended that satellite and in situ SSS measurements less than 3–6 h after rain events should be considered with care when used in

satellite calibration/validation analyses. To identify such situation, the Pi-MEP platform is first using [CMORPH](#page-9-0) products to characterize the local value and history of rain rate and [ASCAT](#page-9-1) gridded data are used to characterize the local surface wind speed and history. For validation purpose, the [ISAS](#page-10-0) monthly SSS in situ analysed fields at 5 m depth are collocated and compared with the satellite SSS products. The use of ISAS is motivated by the fact that it is used in the SMOS L2 official validation protocol in which systematic comparisons of SMOS L2 retrieved SSS with ISAS are done. In complement to ISAS, monthly std climatological fields from the World Ocean Atlas (WOA13) at the match-up pairs location and date are also used to have an a priori information of the local SSS variability.

2.3.1 CMORPH

Precipitation are estimated using the [CMORPH](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/janowiak/cmorph_description.html) 3-hourly products at 1/4[°] resolution [\(Joyce](#page-30-0) [et al.](#page-30-0) [\(2004\)](#page-30-0)). CMORPH (CPC MORPHing technique) produces global precipitation analyses at very high spatial and temporal resolution. This technique uses precipitation estimates that have been derived from low orbiter satellite microwave observations exclusively, and whose features are transported via spatial propagation information that is obtained entirely from geostationary satellite IR data. At present NOAA incorporate precipitation estimates derived from the passive microwaves aboard the DMSP 13, 14 and 15 (SSM/I), the NOAA-15, 16, 17 and 18 (AMSU-B), and AMSR-E and TMI aboard NASA's Aqua, TRMM and GPM spacecraft, respectively. These estimates are generated by algorithms of [Ferraro](#page-30-1) [\(1997\)](#page-30-1) for SSM/I, [Ferraro](#page-30-2) [et al.](#page-30-2) [\(2000\)](#page-30-2) for AMSU-B and [Kummerow et al.](#page-30-3) [\(2001\)](#page-30-3) for TMI. Note that this technique is not a precipitation estimation algorithm but a means by which estimates from existing microwave rainfall algorithms can be combined. Therefore, this method is extremely flexible such that any precipitation estimates from any microwave satellite source can be incorporated.

With regard to spatial resolution, although the precipitation estimates are available on a grid with a spacing of 8 km (at the equator), the resolution of the individual satellite-derived estimates is coarser than that - more on the order of 12 x 15 km or so. The finer "resolution" is obtained via interpolation.

In effect, IR data are used as a means to transport the microwave-derived precipitation features during periods when microwave data are not available at a location. Propagation vector matrices are produced by computing spatial lag correlations on successive images of geostationary satellite IR which are then used to propagate the microwave derived precipitation estimates. This process governs the movement of the precipitation features only. At a given location, the shape and intensity of the precipitation features in the intervening half hour periods between microwave scans are determined by performing a time-weighting interpolation between microwave-derived features that have been propagated forward in time from the previous microwave observation and those that have been propagated backward in time from the following microwave scan. NOAA refer to this latter step as "morphing" of the features.

For the present Pi-MEP products, we only considered the 3-hourly products at 1/4 degree resolution. The entire CMORPH record (December 2002-present) for 3-hourly, 1/4 degree lat/lon resolution can be found at: [ftp://ftp.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/precip/CMORPH_V1.](ftp://ftp.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/precip/CMORPH_V1.0/CRT/) [0/CRT/](ftp://ftp.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/precip/CMORPH_V1.0/CRT/). CMORPH estimates cover a global belt (-180◦W to 180◦E) extending from 60◦S to 60◦N latitude and are available for the complete period of the Pi-MEP core datasets (Jan 2010-now).

2.3.2 ASCAT

Advanced SCATterometer (ASCAT) daily data produced and made available at [Ifremer/CERSAT](ftp://ftp.ifremer.fr/ifremer/cersat/products/gridded/MWF/L3/ASCAT/Daily/Netcdf/) on a 0.25° x 0.25° resolution grid [\(Bentamy and Fillon](#page-29-2) [\(2012\)](#page-29-2)) since March 2007 are used to characterize the mean daily wind at the match-up pair location as well as the wind history during the

10-days period preceding the in situ measurement date. These wind fields are calculated based on a geostatistical method with external drift. Remotely sensed data from ASCAT are considered as observations while those from numerical model analysis (ECMWF) are associated with the external drift. The spatial and temporal structure functions for wind speed, zonal and meridional wind components are estimated from ASCAT retrievals. Furthermore, the new procedure includes a temporal interpolation of the retrievals based on the complex empirical orthogonal function (CEOF) approach, in order to enhance the sampling length of the scatterometer observations. The resulting daily wind fields involves the main known surface wind patterns as well as some variation modes associated with temporal and spatial moving features. The accuracy of the gridded winds was investigated through comparisons with moored buoy data in [Bentamy](#page-29-3) [et al.](#page-29-3) [\(2012\)](#page-29-3) and resulted in rms differences for wind speed and direction are about 1.50 m.s^{−1} and 20° .

2.3.3 ISAS

The In Situ Analysis System (ISAS), as described in [Gaillard et al.](#page-30-4) [\(2016\)](#page-30-4) is a data based re-analysis of temperature and salinity fields over the global ocean $70°N-70°S$ on a $1/2°$ grid. It was initially designed to synthesize the temperature and salinity profiles collected by the Argo program. It has been later extended to accommodate all type of vertical profile as well as time series. ISAS gridded fields are entirely based on in situ measurements. The methodology and configuration have been conceived to preserve as much as possible the data information content and resolution. ISAS is developed and run in a research laboratory [\(LOPS\)](https://www.umr-lops.fr/) in close collaboration with Coriolis, one of Argo Global Data Assembly Center and unique data provider for the Mercator operational oceanography system. In Pi-MEP, the products used are the [INSITU](https://doi.org/10.17882/46219) GLO PHY TS OA MY 013 052 for the period 2010 to 2021 and the [IN-](https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00037)[SITU](https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00037) GLO PHY TS OA NRT 013 002 for the Near-Real Time (2022-2023) derived at the Coriolis data center and provided by the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service [\(CMEMS\)](http://marine.copernicus.eu/). The major contribution to the data set is from Argo array of profiling floats, reaching an approximate resolution of one profile every 10-days and every 3-degrees over the satellite SSS period (<http://www.umr-lops.fr/SNO-Argo/Products/ISAS-T-S-fields/>). The ISAS optimal interpolation involves a structure function modeled as the sum of two Gaussian functions, each associated with specific time and space scales, resulting in a smoothing over typically 3 degrees. The smallest scale which can be retrieved with ISAS analysis is not smaller than 300–500 km [\(Kolodziejczyk et al.](#page-30-5) [\(2015\)](#page-30-5)). For validation purpose, the ISAS monthly SSS fields at 5 m depth are collocated and compared with the satellite SSS products and included in the Pi-MEP Match-up files. In addition, the "percentage of variance" fields (PCTVAR) contained in the ISAS analyses provide information on the local variability of in situ SSS measurements within $1/2°x1/2°$ boxes.

2.3.4 World Ocean Atlas Climatology

The World Ocean Atlas [\(WOA\)](https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/world-ocean-atlas) is a set of objectively analyzed (1◦ grid) climatological fields of in situ temperature, salinity and other variables provided at standard depth levels for annual, seasonal, and monthly compositing periods for the World Ocean. It also includes associated statistical fields of observed oceanographic profile data interpolated to standard depth levels on 5◦ , 1◦ , and 0.25◦ grids. We use these fields in complement to ISAS to characterize the climatological fields (montly mean and std) at the match-up pairs location and date.

2.4 Overview of the Match-ups generation method

The match-up production is basically a three steps process:

- 1. preparation of the input in situ and satellite data, and,
- 2. co-localization of satellite products with in situ SSS measurements.
- 3. co-localization of the in situ/satellite pair with auxiliary information.

In the following, we successively detail the approaches taken for these different steps.

2.4.1 In situ/Satellite data filtering

The first step consists in filtering Saildrone in situ data using the quality flags as described in [2.2](#page-8-0) so that only valid salinity data remain in the final match-up files.

For high-spatial resolution in situ SSS measurements such as the Thermo-SalinoGraph (TSG) SSS data, as well as SSS data from surface drifters, an additional spatial filtering step is performed on the in situ data that will be eventually compared to the satellite SSS products. If R_{sat} is the spatial resolution of the satellite SSS product ($L2$ to $L3-L4$), the *in situ* data are spatially low pass filtered using a running median filter with a window width= R_{sat} to try to minimize the spatial representation uncertainty when comparing to the lower spatial resolution of the satellite SSS product. Both original and filtered in situ data are finally stored in the MDB files.

Only for satellite L2 SSS data, a third sub-step consists in filtering spurious data using the flags and associated recommendations as provided by the official data centers and described in [2.1.](#page-7-1)

2.4.2 In situ/Satellite Co-localization

In this step, each SSS satellite product is co-localized with the filtered in situ measurements. The method used for co-location is different if the satellite SSS is a swath product (so-called Level 2-types) or a time-space composite product (so-called Level 3/level 4-types).

• For L2 SSS swath data :

If R_{sat} is the spatial resolution of the satellite swath SSS product, for each in situ data sample collected in the Pi-MEP database, the platform searches for all satellite SSS data found at grid nodes located within a radius of $R_{sat}/2$ from the *in situ* data location and acquired with a time-lag from the *in situ* measurement date that is less or equal than ± 12 hours. If several satellite SSS samples are found to meet these criteria, the final satellite SSS match-up point is selected to be the closest in time from the in situ data measurement date. The final spatial and temporal lags between the *in situ* and satellite data are stored in the MDB files.

• For L3 and L4 composite SSS products :

If R_{sat} is the spatial resolution of the composite satellite SSS product and D the period over which the composite product was built (e.g., periods of $1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 18$ days, 1 month, etc..) with central time t_o , then for each in situ data sample in the Pi-MEP database within the time interval $[t_o - D/2, t_o + D/2]$, the platform searches for all satellite SSS data of the composite product found at grid nodes located within a radius of $R_{sat}/2$ from the in situ data location. If several satellite SSS product samples are found to meet these criteria, the final satellite SSS match-up point is chosen to be the composite SSS with central time t_o which is the closest in time to the *in situ* data measurement date. The final spatial and temporal lags between the in situ and satellite data are stored in the MDB file.

Recently, in the context of the partnership with NASA, the Pi-MEP provides a new colocalization criterion that is applied only to L2 products, called "L2-Averaged". It consists in averaging all SSS L2 swath pixels falling in a spatio-temporal window defined by $R_{sat}=50$ km and $D = \pm 3.5$ days around the in situ location. The spatial and temporal lags stored in the MDB files correspond to the average of all lags for each in situ data.

2.4.3 MDB pair Co-localization with auxiliary data and complementary information

MDB data consist of satellite and in situ SSS pairs but also of auxiliary geophysical parameters such as local and history of wind speed and rain rates, as well as various information (climatology, distance to coast, mixed layer depth, barrier layer thickness, etc) that can be derived from in situ data and which are included in the final match-up files. The collocation of auxiliary parameters and additional information is done for each in situ SSS measurement contained in the match-up files as follows :

If t_{insitu} is the time/date at which the *in situ* measurement is performed, we collect:

- The [ASCAT](#page-9-1) wind speed product of the same day than $t_{institu}$ found at the ASCAT 1/4 \circ grid node with closest distance from the in situ data location. We then store the time series of the ASCAT wind speed at the same node for the 10 days prior to the *in situ* measurement day.
- If the in situ data is located within the 60◦N-60◦S band, we select the [CMORPH](#page-9-0) 3-hourly product that is closest in time from t_{insitu} and found at the CMORPH 1/4 \degree grid node with closest distance from the *in situ* data location. We then store the time series of the CMORPH rain rate at the same node for the 10 days prior to the in situ measurement time.

For the given month/year of the in situ data, we select the [ISAS](#page-10-0) and [WOA](#page-10-1) fields for the same month (and same year for ISAS fields) and take the SSS analysis (monthly mean, std) found at the closest grid node from the in situ measurement.

The distance from the in situ SSS data location to the nearest coast is evaluated and provided in km. We use a distance-to-coast map at $1/4^{\circ}$ resolution where small islands have been removed.

When vertical profiles of salinity (S) and temperature (T) are made available from the in situ measurements used to build the match-up (Argo or marine mammals), the following variables are also included into each satellite/in situ match-up file:

- 1. The vertical distribution of pressure at which the profiles were measured,
- 2. The vertical $S(z)$ and $T(z)$ profiles,
- 3. The vertical potential density anomaly profile $\sigma_0(z)$,
- 4. The Mixed Layer Depth (MLD). The MLD is defined here as the depth where the potential density has increased from the reference depth (10 meter) by a threshold equivalent to 0.2◦C decrease in temperature at constant salinity: $\sigma_0 = \sigma_{010m} + \Delta \sigma_0$ with $\Delta \sigma_0 = \sigma_0(\theta_{10m} (0.2, S_{10m}) - \sigma_0(\theta_{10m}, S_{10m})$ where θ_{10m} and S_{10m} are the temperature and salinity at the reference depth (i.e. 10 m) (de Boyer Montégut et al. [\(2004\)](#page-29-4), de Boyer Montégut et al. (2007) .
- 5. The Top of the Thermocline Depth (TTD) is defined as the depth at which temperature decreases from its 10 m value by 0.2◦C.

- 6. The Barrier Layer thickness (BLT) is defined as the difference between the MLD and the TTD. If BLT<0, it corresponds to a vertically density compensated layer whose thickness is then the absolute value of (TTD-MLD).
- 7. The vertical profile of the buoyancy frequency $N^2(z)$

The resulting match-ups files are serialized as NetCDF-4 files whose structure depends on the origin of the in situ data and is described in section [2.4.4.](#page-13-0)

2.4.4 Content of the Match-Up NetCDF files

The content of the Match-Up NetCDF files for Saildrone is described [here.](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/docs/MDB_Data_NetCDF_Content/MDB_saildrone_NetCDFcontent.pdf)

2.5 MDB characteristics for each specific in situ/satellite pair

2.5.1 Number of paired SSS data as a function of time and distance to coast

Figure [2](#page-13-4) shows the time (a) and distance to coast (b) distributions of the match-ups between Saildrone and SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) for the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region and for the full satellite product period.

Figure 2: Number of match-ups between Saildrone and SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) SSS as a function of time (a) and as function of the distance to coast (b) over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region and for the full satellite product period.

2.5.2 Histograms of the SSS match-ups

Figure [3](#page-14-2) shows the SSS distribution of Saildrone (a) and SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) (b) considering all match-up pairs per bins of 0.1 over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region and for the full satellite product period.

Figure 3: Histograms of SSS from Saildrone (a) and SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) (b) considering all match-up pairs per bins of 0.1 over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region and for the full satellite product period.

2.5.3 Distribution of in situ SSS depth measurements

Figure [4](#page-14-3) shows the depth distribution of the upper level SSS measurements from Saildrone in the Match-up DataBase for the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region (a) and temporal mean spatial distribution of pressure of the in situ SSS data over $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ boxes and for the full satellite product period (b).

Figure 4: Histograms of the depth of the upper level SSS measurements from Saildrone in the Match-up DataBase for the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region (a) and temporal mean spatial distribution of pressure of the in situ SSS data over $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ boxes and for the full satellite product period (b).

2.5.4 Spatial Distribution of Match-ups

The number of SSS match-ups between Saildrone SSS and the SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) SSS product for the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region over $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ boxes and for the full satellite product period is shown in Figure [5.](#page-15-3)

Figure 5: Number of SSS match-ups between Saildrone SSS and the SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) SSS product for the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region over $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ boxes and for the full satellite product period.

2.5.5 Histograms of the spatial and temporal lags of the match-ups pairs

Figure [6](#page-15-4) reveals the spatial (left) and temporal (right) lags between the location/time of the Saildrone measurement and the position/date of the corresponding SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) SSS pixel of all match-ups pairs.

Figure 6: Histograms of the spatial (a) and temporal (b) lags between the location/time of the Saildrone measurement and the date of the corresponding SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) SSS pixel.

3 MDB file Analyses

3.1 Spatial Maps of the Temporal mean and Std of *in situ* and satellite SSS and of their difference (∆SSS)

In Figure [7,](#page-16-1) we show maps of temporal mean (left) and standard deviation (right) of the SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) (top) and of the Saildrone in situ dataset at the collected Pi-MEP match-up pairs. The temporal mean and std are gridded over the full satellite product period and over spatial boxes of size $1° \times 1°$.

At the bottom of Figure [7,](#page-16-1) the temporal mean (left) and standard deviation (right) of the differences between the satellite SSS product and in situ data found at match-up pairs, namely ∆SSS(Satellite -Saildrone), is also gridded over the full satellite product period and over spatial boxes of size $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$.

Figure 7: Temporal mean (left) and Std (right) of SSS from SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) (top), Saildrone (middle), and of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone). Only match-up pairs are used to generate these maps.

3.2 Time series of the monthly median and Std of *in situ* and satellite SSS and of their difference (∆SSS)

In the top panel of Figure [8,](#page-17-1) we show the time series of the monthly median SSS estimated over the full Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region for both SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) satellite SSS product (in black) and the Saildrone in situ dataset (in blue) at the collected Pi-MEP match-up pairs.

In the middle panel of Figure [8,](#page-17-1) we show the time series of the monthly median of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) for the collected Pi-MEP match-up pairs and estimated over the full Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region.

In the bottom panel of Figure [8,](#page-17-1) we show the time series of the monthly standard deviation of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) for the collected Pi-MEP match-up pairs and estimated over the

full Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region.

Figure 8: Time series of the monthly median SSS (top), median of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) and Std of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region considering all match-ups collected by the Pi-MEP.

3.3 Zonal mean and Std of in situ and satellite SSS and of the difference (∆SSS)

In Figure [9](#page-18-1) left panel, we show the zonal mean SSS considering all Pi-MEP match-up pairs for both SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) satellite SSS product (in black) and the Saildrone in situ dataset (in blue). The full satellite SSS product period is used to derive the mean.

In the right panel of Figure [9,](#page-18-1) we show the zonal mean of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) for all the collected Pi-MEP match-up pairs estimated over the full satellite product period.

Figure 9: Left panel: Zonal mean SSS from SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) satellite product (black) and from Saildrone (blue). Right panel: Zonal mean of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) for all the collected Pi-MEP match-up pairs estimated over the full satellite product period.

3.4 Scatterplots of satellite vs in situ SSS by latitudinal bands

In Figure [10,](#page-19-0) contour maps of the concentration of SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) SSS (y-axis) versus Saildrone SSS (x-axis) at match-up pairs for different latitude bands: (a) $80°S-80°N$, (b) $20°S-20°N$, (c) $40°S-20°S$ and $20°N-40°N$ and (d) $60°S-40°S$ and $40°N-60°N$. For each plot, the red line shows x=y. The black thin and dashed lines indicate a linear fit through the data cloud and the $\pm 95\%$ confidence levels, respectively. The number match-up pairs n, the slope and \mathbb{R}^2 coefficient of the linear fit, the root mean square (RMS) and the mean bias between satellite and *in situ* data are indicated for each latitude band in each plots.

Figure 10: Contour maps of the concentration of SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) SSS (y-axis) versus Saildrone SSS (x-axis) at match-up pairs for different latitude bands. For each plot, the red line shows $x=y$. The black thin and dashed lines indicate a linear fit through the data cloud and the $\pm 95\%$ confidence levels, respectively. The number match-up pairs n, the slope and R^2 coefficient of the linear fit, the root mean square (RMS) and the mean bias between satellite and in situ data are indicated for each latitude band in each plots.

3.5 Time series of the monthly median and Std of ∆SSS sorted by latitudinal bands

In Figure [11,](#page-20-2) time series of the monthly median (red curves) of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) and ± 1 Std (black vertical thick bars) as function of time for all the collected Pi-MEP match-up pairs estimated over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region and for the full satellite product period are shown for different latitude bands: (a) 80◦S-80◦N, (b) 20◦S-20◦N, (c) 40◦S-20◦S and 20° N-40°N and (d) 60° S-40°S and 40° N-60°N.

Figure 11: Monthly median (red curves) of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) and ±1 Std (black vertical thick bars) as function of time for all the collected Pi-MEP match-up pairs estimated over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region and for the full satellite product period are shown for different latitude bands: (a) $80°S-80°N$, (b) $20°S-20°N$, (c) $40°S-20°S$ and $20°N-40°N$ and (d) 60° S- 40° S and 40° N- 60° N.

3.6 ∆SSS sorted as function of geophysical parameters

In Figures [12](#page-22-0) and [13,](#page-23-1) we classify the match-up differences Δ SSS (Satellite - *in situ*) between SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) and Saildrone SSS as function of the geophysical conditions at match-up points. The median and std of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) is thus evaluated as function of the

- \bullet *in situ* SSS values per bins of width 0.2,
- in situ SST values per bins of width $1°C$,
- CCMP $6h/ASCII$ daily wind values per bins of width 1 m/s,

- IMERG 30 min/CMORPH 3-hourly rain rates per bins of width 1 mm/h,
- distance to the coast per bins of width 50 km,
- distance to the ice edge per bins of width 50 km,
- \bullet *in situ* measurement depth (if relevant),
- \bullet sea ice fraction per bins of width 10%,
- CMC/ERA5/AVHRR SST values per bins of width 1◦C,
- ISAS SSS values per bins of width 0.2.

Figure 12: ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) sorted as function of Saildrone SSS values (a), ISAS SSS (b), ASCAT Wind speed (c), CCMP Wind speed (d), CMORPH rain rate (e) and IMERG rain rate (f). In all plots the median and Std of ∆SSS for each bin is indicated by the red curves and black vertical thick bars $(\pm 1 \text{ Std})$.

Figure 13: ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) sorted as function of Saildrone SST values (a), CMC SST (b), ERA5 SST (c), AVHRR SST (d), distance to coast (e) and distance to ice edge (f). In all plots the median and Std of ∆SSS for each bin is indicated by the red curves and black vertical thick bars (± 1 Std). Links to similar figures sorted as function of [Sea ice fraction](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km/saildrone/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km_saildrone_DeltaSSS-vs-SIC.png) and [in situ](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km/saildrone/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km_saildrone_DeltaSSS-vs-Depth.png) [measurement depth.](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km/saildrone/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km_saildrone_DeltaSSS-vs-Depth.png)

3.7 ∆SSS maps and statistics for different geophysical conditions

In Figures [14](#page-24-0) and [15,](#page-25-1) we focus on sub-datasets of the match-up differences ∆SSS (Satellite - in situ) between SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) and Saildrone for the following specific geophysical conditions:

• C1:if the local value at in situ location of estimated rain rate is zero, mean daily wind is in the range [3, 12] m/s , the SST is $> 5^{\circ}$ C and distance to coast is > 800 km.

- C2:if the local value at in situ location of estimated rain rate is zero, mean daily wind is in the range $[3, 12]$ m/s.
- C3:if the local value at in situ location of estimated rain rate is high (ie. > 1 mm/h) and mean daily wind is low (ie. $\langle 4 \text{ m/s} \rangle$.
- C5:if the in situ data is located where the climatological SSS standard deviation is low (ie. above < 0.2).
- C6:if the *in situ* data is located where the climatological SSS standard deviation is high (ie. above > 0.2).

For each of these conditions, the temporal mean (gridded over spatial boxes of size $1° \times 1°$) and the histogram of the difference Δ SSS (Satellite - in situ) are presented.

Figure 14: Temporal mean gridded over spatial boxes of size 1◦×1 ◦ of ∆SSS (SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) - Saildrone) for 5 different subdatasets corresponding to:RR=0 mm/h, $3 < U_{10}$ <12 m/s, SST>5^oC, distance to coast > 800 km (a), RR=0 mm/h, 3< U_{10} <12 m/s (b), RR>1mm/h and U_{10} <4m/s (c), WOA2013 SSS Std<0.2 (d), WOA2013 SSS Std>0.2 (e).

Figure 15: Normalized histogram of ∆SSS (SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) - Saildrone) for 5 different subdatasets corresponding to: RR=0 mm/h, $3 < U_{10}$ <12 m/s, SST>5^oC, distance to coast > 800 km (a), RR=0 mm/h, $3 < U_{10}$ <12 m/s (b), RR>1mm/h and U_{10} <4m/s (c), WOA2013 SSS Std<0.2 (d), WOA2013 SSS Std>0.2 (e).

4 Summary

 \blacktriangleright Table 1 shows the mean, median, standard deviation (Std), root mean square (RMS), interquartile range (IQR), correlation coefficient (r^2) and robust standard deviation (Std^{*}) of the match-up differences ∆SSS (Satellite - in situ) between SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) and Saildrone derived over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region and for the full satellite product period and for the following conditions:

- all: All the match-up pairs satellite/in situ SSS values are used to derive the statistics
- C1: only pairs where RR=0 mm/h, $3 < U_{10}$ <12 m/s, SST>5^oC, distance to coast > 800 km
- C2: only pairs where RR=0 mm/h , $3 < U_{10} < 12 \text{ m/s}$
- C3: only pairs where $\text{RR}>1\text{mm/h}$ and U_{10} <4m/s
- C5: only pairs where WOA2013 SSS Std < 0.2
- C6: only pairs at WOA2013 SSS Std>0.2
- C7a: only pairs with a distance to coast < 150 km.
- C7b: only pairs with a distance to coast in the range [150, 800] km.
- C7c: only pairs with a distance to coast > 800 km.
- C8a: only pairs where SST is $< 5^{\circ}$ C.
- C8b: only pairs where SST is in the range [5, 15]°C.

- C8c: only pairs where SST is $> 15^{\circ}$ C.
- C9a: only pairs where SSS is $<$ 33.
- C9b: only pairs where SSS is in the range [33, 37].
- C9c: only pairs where SSS is > 37.

Condition	#	Median	Mean	Std	$\rm RMS$	IQR	${\bf r}^2$	\mathbf{Std}^{\star}
all	23530	-0.37	-0.38	0.44	0.58	0.38	0.697	0.28
C1	Ω	Na	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
C2	5450	-0.42	-0.35	0.28	0.45	0.27	0.154	0.16
C3	182	-0.34	-0.28	0.13	0.31	0.17	0.050	0.15
C5	5020	-0.35	-0.33	0.38	0.51	0.37	0.464	0.27
C6	18317	-0.37	-0.39	0.45	0.60	0.38	0.713	0.28
C7a	12412	-0.45	-0.38	0.36	0.53	0.31	0.713	0.21
C7b	11118	-0.28	-0.37	0.52	0.64	0.35	0.755	0.25
C7c	Ω	Na	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
C8a	θ	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
C8b	θ	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
C8c	23530	-0.37	-0.38	0.44	0.58	0.38	0.697	0.28
C9a	81	0.37	0.30	0.35	0.46	0.70	0.041	0.55
C9b	23449	-0.37	-0.38	0.44	0.58	0.38	0.688	0.28
C9c	Ω	$\rm NaN$	$\text{Na} \text{N}$	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN

Table 1: Statistics of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone)

 \triangleright Table 2 presents statistics of $\triangle SSS$ (Satellite - [ISAS\)](#page-10-0) using only ISAS SSS values with PCTVAR<80%.

Table 2: Statistics of ∆SSS (Satellite - ISAS)

Condition	#	Median	Mean	Std	RMS	IQR	${\bf r}^2$	Std^\star
all	13549	-0.25	-0.24	0.64	0.68	0.83	0.304	0.58
C1	θ	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
C2	1406	0.39	0.42	0.25	0.48	0.27	0.080	0.30
C ₃	93	0.39	0.46	0.16	0.48	0.00	0.188	0.00
C5	3563	0.03	-0.01	0.50	0.50	0.36	0.058	0.31
C ₆	9855	-0.42	-0.35	0.62	0.71	0.86	0.412	0.60
C7a	5031	-0.48	-0.21	0.72	0.75	1.23	0.072	0.56
C7b	8518	-0.21	-0.26	0.58	0.64	0.57	0.505	0.43
C7c	θ	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
C8a	θ	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
C8 _b	θ	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
C8c	13549	-0.25	-0.24	0.64	0.68	0.83	0.304	0.58
C9a	20	-1.56	-1.56	0.00	1.56	0.00	NaN	0.00
C9b	13529	-0.25	-0.24	0.64	0.68	0.83	0.302	0.58
C9c	θ	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN

 \blacktriangleright Numerical values can be downloaded as csv files for [Table 1](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km/saildrone/csvfile/stats_MRP_smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km_saildrone.csv) and [Table 2.](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km/saildrone/csvfile/stats_MRP_smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km_ISAS.csv)

5 More Comparison/Validation Materials

5.1 Comparisons with other satellite products

 \blacktriangleright Table 1 shows the mean, median, standard deviation (Std), root mean square (RMS), interquartile range (IQR), correlation coefficient (r^2) and robust standard deviation (Std^{*}) of the match-up differences ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) between different satellite products and Saildrone derived over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region considering all match-up pairs satellite/in situ SSS values to derive the statistics:

Satellite products	#	Median	Mean	\mathbf{Std}	RMS	IQR	$\overline{\mathbf{r}^2}$	\mathbf{Std}^{\star}
$smos-12-v700$	8322	-0.05	0.01	0.92	0.92	1.32	0.335	0.95
$smap-12-rss-v6$	15652	-0.06	-0.06	0.48	0.49	0.62	0.405	0.47
$smap-12-rss-v6-40km$	14338	0.01	0.04	0.69	0.69	$0.95\,$	0.276	0.69
smap- $l2$ -jpl- $v5.0$	16757	$0.01\,$	-0.14	1.35	1.36	0.74	0.058	$0.55\,$
$smos-13-catds-cpdc-v333-12q$	8416	-0.31	-0.39	1.00	1.07	1.02	0.288	0.76
smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v332-9d	23079	-0.30	-0.28	$0.35\,$	0.45	0.38	0.768	0.28
smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-10d-25km	23958	-0.32	-0.28	0.43	0.51	0.42	0.653	0.32
smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km	23530	-0.37	-0.38	0.44	0.58	0.38	0.697	0.28
smos-13-catds-locean-v9-9d	18642	-0.26	-0.25	0.41	0.48	0.34	0.766	0.25
smos-13-catds-locean-v9-18d	18642	-0.31	-0.28	0.41	0.49	0.31	0.770	0.23
$smap-13-rss-v6-8dr$	19801	-0.04	-0.07	0.26	0.27	$\rm 0.31$	0.729	$0.22\,$
$smap-13-rss-v6-1m$	26958	-0.05	-0.04	0.44	0.44	0.33	0.666	$0.25\,$
$smap-13-jpl-v5.0-8dr$	21442	-0.07	-0.08	0.39	$0.39\,$	0.48	0.583	$0.36\,$
smap- $l3$ -jpl- $v5.0$ -1m	12378	0.18	0.17	0.38	0.41	0.30	0.815	0.23
smos-l4-cmems-catds-lops-oi-v346-1w	14348	-0.24	-0.23	0.43	0.49	0.37	0.750	0.27
$smos-14$ -cmems-cnr-v1-1d	19976	-0.24	-0.57	1.02	1.17	0.98	0.131	0.63
smos-l4-cmems-cnr-v1-1m	19414	-0.29	-0.64	0.84	1.06	1.36	0.278	0.55
cci-l4-esa-merged-oi-v4.41-7dr	11597	-0.23	-0.21	0.49	0.53	0.67	0.685	0.49
cci-l4-esa-merged-oi-v4.41-30dr	11096	-0.20	-0.14	0.46	0.48	0.50	0.745	$0.36\,$
$smap-14-esr-oi-v3-1d$	49512	-0.21	-0.16	$0.50\,$	$0.52\,$	$0.54\,$	0.661	0.40
smap-l4-esr-oi-v3-1m	12378	-0.28	-0.24	0.41	0.48	0.33	0.766	0.24
cci -14-esa-merged-oi-v 5.5 -7dr	9324	-0.12	-0.03	0.59	0.59	0.66	0.603	0.44
cci -14-esa-merged-oi-v 5.5 -30dr	11325	-0.13	0.00	0.58	0.58	0.53	0.585	0.34

Table 1: Statistics of ∆SSS (Satellite - Saildrone) - All

 \blacktriangleright Table 2 is similar to Table 1 but considering only match-up pairs where RR=0 mm/h, 3< U_{10} <12 m/s, SST>5[°]C, distance to coast > 800 km.

 \blacktriangleright Numerical values can be downloaded as csv files for [Table 1](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/csvfile/stats_MRP_saildrone_all.csv) and [Table 2.](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/csvfile/stats_MRP_saildrone_C1.csv)

 \triangleright Figures using numerical values of Table 1 sorted by [MEDIANS,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_MEDIAN_all.png) [MEANS,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_MEAN_all.png) [IQR,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_IQR_all.png) [RMS,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_RMS_all.png) [STD,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_STD_all.png) [R2](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_R2_all.png) are also provided.

 \triangleright Figures using numerical values of Table 2 sorted by [MEDIANS,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_MEDIAN_C1.png) [MEANS,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_MEAN_C1.png) [IQR,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_IQR_C1.png) [RMS,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_RMS_C1.png) [STD,](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_STD_C1.png) [R2](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/figs/pimep-mdb-figure_MRP_saildrone_R2_C1.png) are also provided.

Caution has to be made in the interpretation of the "ranking" between different satellite products in particular when looking at the dispersion parameters like the standard deviation (STD), or the interquartile range (IQR). Keep in mind that low spatial and/or temporal resolution satellite SSS products tend to have lower dispersions than products at higher resolutions. For example, a level 2 (swath) product of a specific sensor will always have more dispersion than level 3 or 4 products where spatial and temporal averaging tend to reduce the instrumental noise and potential small scale variability. In general, products at $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial resolution have lower dispersion than products at 0.25°x0.25°. Same result applies for monthly products compared to daily products.

5.2 Statistics derived for the different in situ databases

 \blacktriangleright Table 1 shows the mean, median, standard deviation (Std), root mean square (RMS), interquartile range (IQR), correlation coefficient (r^2) and robust standard deviation (Std^{*}) of the match-up differences ∆SSS (Satellite - in situ) between SMOS SSS L3 v335 - Monthly - 25 km (CATDS-CPDC) and all the available in situ datasets derived over the Mississippi river plume Pi-MEP region and for the full satellite product period and considering all match-up pairs

satellite/in situ SSS values to derive the statistics:

 \blacktriangleright Table 2 is similar to Table 1 but considering only match-up pairs where RR=0 mm/h, 3< U_{10} <12 m/s, SST>5[°]C, distance to coast > 800 km.

Table 2: Statistics of $\triangle SSS$ (Satellite - in situ)										
<i>in situ</i> database	#	Median	Mean	Std	$\rm RMS$	IOR	${\bf r}^2$	$\mathbf{S} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{d}^*$		
argo		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN		
$tsg-gosud-sailing-ship$	θ	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN		
tsg-samos		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN		
saildrone		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN		

I Numerical values can be downloaded as csv files for [Table 1](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km/csvfile/stats_MRP_smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km_all.csv) and [Table 2.](https://pimep.ifremer.fr/diffusion/analyses/mdb-database/MRP/smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km/csvfile/stats_MRP_smos-l3-catds-cpdc-v335-1m-25km_C1.csv)

References

- Abderrahim Bentamy and Denis Croize Fillon. Gridded surface wind fields from Metop/ASCAT measurements. Int. J. Remote Sens., 33(6):1729–1754, March 2012. ISSN 1366-5901. [doi:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2011.600348) [10.1080/01431161.2011.600348.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2011.600348)
- Abderrahim Bentamy, Semyon A. Grodsky, James A. Carton, Denis Croizé-Fillon, and Bertrand Chapron. Matching ASCAT and QuikSCAT winds. J. Geophys. Res., 117(C2), February 2012. ISSN 0148-0227. [doi: 10.1029/2011JC007479.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007479)
- J. Boutin, J.-L. Vergely, S. Marchand, F. D'Amico, A. Hasson, N. Kolodziejczyk, N. Reul, G. Reverdin, and J. Vialard. New SMOS Sea Surface Salinity with reduced systematic errors and improved variability. Remote Sens. Environ., 214:115–134, sep 2018. [doi: 10.1016/j.rse.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.05.022) [2018.05.022.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.05.022)
- Jaqueline Boutin, Y. Chao, W. E. Asher, T. Delcroix, R. Drucker, K. Drushka, N. Kolodziejczyk, T. Lee, N. Reul, G. Reverdin, J. Schanze, A. Soloviev, L. Yu, J. Anderson, L. Brucker, E. Dinnat, A. S. Garcia, W. L. Jones, C. Maes, T. Meissner, W. Tang, N. Vinogradova, and B. Ward. Satellite and In Situ Salinity: Understanding Near-Surface Stratification and Sub-footprint Variability. Bull. Am. Meterol. Soc., 97(8):1391–1407, 2016. ISSN 1520-0477. [doi: 10.1175/bams-d-15-00032.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-15-00032.1)
- Clément de Boyer Montégut, Gurvan Madec, A. S. Fischer, A. Lazar, and D. Ludicone. Mixed layer depth over the global ocean: An examination of profile data and a profile-based climatology. J. Geophys. Res., 109(C12), December 2004. [doi: 10.1029/2004jc002378.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004jc002378)
- Clément de Boyer Montégut, Juliette Mignot, Alban Lazar, and Sophie Cravatte. Control of salinity on the mixed layer depth in the world ocean: 1. General description. J. Geophys. Res., 112(C6), June 2007. ISSN 0148-0227. [doi: 10.1029/2006jc003953.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006jc003953)

- Ralph R. Ferraro. SSM/I derived global rainfall estimates for climatological applications. J. Geophys. Res., 102(D14):16715–16736, 07 1997. [doi: 10.1029/97JD01210.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/97JD01210)
- Ralph R. Ferraro, Fuzhong Weng, Norman C. Grody, and Limin Zhao. Precipitation characteristics over land from the NOAA-15 AMSU sensor. Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(17):2669–2672, 2000. [doi: 10.1029/2000GL011665.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000GL011665)
- Fabienne Gaillard, Thierry Reynaud, Virginie Thierry, Nicolas Kolodziejczyk, and Karina von Schuckmann. In Situ-Based Reanalysis of the Global Ocean Temperature and Salinity with ISAS: Variability of the Heat Content and Steric Height. J. Clim., 29(4):1305–1323, February 2016. ISSN 1520-0442. [doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-15-0028.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-15-0028.1)
- Robert J. Joyce, John E. Janowiak, Phillip A. Arkin, and Pingping Xie. CMORPH: A Method that Produces Global Precipitation Estimates from Passive Microwave and Infrared Data at High Spatial and Temporal Resolution. J. Hydrometeorol., 5(3):487–503, June 2004. [doi:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2004)005<0487:camtpg>2.0.co;2) $10.1175/1525-7541(2004)005(0487:[10.1175/1525-7541\(2004\)005\(0487:<a href="mailto:camtpg}{2.0.co;2.}</math>](mailto:camtpg}{2.0.co;2.}</math><math display=)$
- Nicolas Kolodziejczyk, Gilles Reverdin, and Alban Lazar. Interannual Variability of the Mixed Layer Winter Convection and Spice Injection in the Eastern Subtropical North Atlantic. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 45(2):504–525, Feb 2015. ISSN 1520-0485. [doi: 10.1175/jpo-d-14-0042.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/jpo-d-14-0042.1)
- Christian Kummerow, Y. Hong, W. S. Olson, S. Yang, R. F. Adler, J. McCollum, R. Ferraro, G. Petty, D-B. Shin, and T. T. Wilheit. The Evolution of the Goddard Profiling Algorithm (GPROF) for Rainfall Estimation from Passive Microwave Sensors. J. Appl. Meteorol., 40(11): 1801–1820, 2001. [doi: 10.1175/1520-0450\(2001\)040](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2001)040<1801:TEOTGP>2.0.CO;2)(1801:TEOTGP)2.0.CO;2.